MULTIPLE POINTS REGRESSION

George Daniel MATEESCU¹



We analyse the use of linear regression for a set of points $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ which don't belong to a function graph, i.e. there is more than one value of the endogenous variable *y*, that corresponds to the independent variable *x*. Discrete and continuous range of dependent variable are considered.

Keywords: regression, multiple values **JEL Classification**: C02, C32

. Discrete Range

As it is known, for a set of observations, $(x_i, y_i) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, i = 1..m, $x_i \neq x_j$ for $i \neq j$, the linear regression is represented by the function $y = \overline{a}x + \overline{b}$, where:

$$\varphi(\overline{a},\overline{b}) = \min \varphi(a,b)$$

$$\varphi(a,b) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (ax_i + b - y_i)^2$$

However, there are some time series for which there is many data observed, corresponding to the same "time". As for example, transactions into the exchange market or stock exchange values are variable during one day, etc. Consequently, it is necessary to find a linear function that adjusts multiple data points.

The model will be

$$\min \varphi(a, b) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (ax_i + b - y_{i,j})^2$$



where the multiple values $y_{i,j}$, $j = 1..n_i$ correspond to x_i , i = 1..m.

¹ Institute for Economic Forecasting, Romanian Academy. E-mail:daniel@mateescu.ro

Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting - 2/2013

141

Thus, it is easy to calculate:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial a}(a,b) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} 2x_i \left(ax_i + b - y_{i,j}\right) = 0, \text{ and}$$
$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial b}(a,b) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} 2\left(ax_i + b - y_{i,j}\right) = 0$$

The following system has an unique solution:

У 🔺

Indeed, the system matrix is

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_i x_i^2 & \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} n_j x_j \\ \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} n_j x_j & N \end{pmatrix}$$

that coincides with the Hessian matrix of function φ .

The **A** matrix is positive definite, as a consequence of the *Sylvester* criteria:

$$\Delta_{1} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i} x_{i}^{2} > 0 \text{ and}$$
$$\Delta_{2} = N \sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i} x_{i}^{2} - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i} x_{i}\right]^{2} = N^{2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n_{i}}{N} x_{i}^{2} - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{n_{i}}{N} x_{i}\right]^{2} \right\}$$

We recall the Jensen inequality:

$$\eta \left(p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 + \dots + p_m x_m \right) \le p_1 \eta \left(x_1 \right) + p_2 \eta \left(x_2 \right) + \dots + p_m \eta \left(x_m \right)$$

where $p_1 + p_2 + ... + p_m = 1$ and the equality is true only if the *x*-values are not distinct; for the function $\eta(t) = t^2$ and $p_i = \frac{n_i}{N}$; the result is $\Delta_2 > 0$.

Finally, we get:

$$\boldsymbol{a} = \frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \boldsymbol{y}_{i,j}\right)\right] \cdot \boldsymbol{N} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \boldsymbol{y}_{i,j}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)}{\Delta_{2}}$$
$$\boldsymbol{b} = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{2}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \boldsymbol{y}_{i,j}\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} n_{i} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right) \cdot \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n_{i}} \boldsymbol{y}_{i,j}\right)\right]}{\Delta_{2}}$$

Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting - 2/2013





Multiple Points Regression

II. Continuous Range

Furthermore, we consider the continuous range for the dependent variable, i.e. $(x_i, [u_i, v_i]), i = 1..m$. Consequently, we define a distance type function by:

$$\varphi(a,b) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{u_i}^{v_i} (ax_i + b - t)^2 dt$$

and the minimum type problem:

$$\min \varphi(a, b)$$

(**P**)

We calculate:

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial a}(a,b) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} 2x_i \int_{u_i}^{v_i} (ax_i + b - t) dt = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial b}(a,b) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} 2\int_{u_i}^{v_i} (ax_i + b - t) dt = 0$$

and consequently:

$$a\sum_{i=1}^{m} (v_{i} - u_{i}) x_{i}^{2} + b\sum_{i=1}^{m} (v_{i} - u_{i}) x_{i} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} (v_{i}^{2} - u_{i}^{2})$$

$$a\sum_{i=1}^{m} (v_{i} - u_{i}) x_{i} + b\sum_{i=1}^{m} (v_{i} - u_{i}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (v_{i}^{2} - u_{i}^{2})$$
(1)

For $n_i = v_i - u_i$, the system matrix above has the same properties as in the case of the discrete range, even tough the n_i values are not natural numbers. The solution, in the continuous case, is:

$$a = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\left(v_{i}^{2}-u_{i}^{2}\right)\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(v_{i}-u_{i}\right)\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(v_{i}^{2}-u_{i}^{2}\right)\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(v_{i}-u_{i}\right)x_{i}\right)}{\Delta_{2}}$$
$$b = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(v_{i}-u_{i}\right)x_{i}^{2}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(v_{i}^{2}-u_{i}^{2}\right)\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(v_{i}-u_{i}\right)x_{i}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}\left(v_{i}^{2}-u_{i}^{2}\right)\right)}{\Delta_{2}}$$

III. Remarks and Application

Remark 1. If all the intervals $[u_i, v_i]$ have equal length, $v_i - u_i = c, \forall i = 1..m$, then the continuous range case becomes the classical regression, applied to the central observed (dependent) value.

$$a\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i^2 + b\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i \frac{V_i + U_i}{2}$$

Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting - 2/2013

143

Institute for Economic Forecasting

$$a\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i + b \cdot m = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{v_i + u_i}{2}$$

Remark 2. If the intervals (ranges) $[u_i, v_i]$ have the centre lying on the straight line $y = \alpha x + \beta$ then $a = \alpha$ and $b = \beta$.

By the substitution $t = \tau + \frac{V_i + U_i}{2} = \tau + \alpha x_i + \beta$, in each intergral from the definition of the function φ , we have:

$$\varphi(\mathbf{a}, b) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{u_{i}}^{v_{i}} (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{x}_{i} + b - t)^{2} dt = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\frac{u_{i} - v_{i}}{2}}^{\frac{v_{i} - u_{i}}{2}} [(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{x}_{i} + b) - \tau - (\alpha \mathbf{x}_{i} + \beta)]^{2} d\tau$$
$$\varphi(\mathbf{a}, b) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \int_{\frac{u_{i} - v_{i}}{2}}^{\frac{v_{i} - u_{i}}{2}} \{\tau^{2} + 2\tau [(\alpha \mathbf{x}_{i} + \beta) - (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{x}_{i} + b)] + [(\alpha \mathbf{x}_{i} + \beta) - (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{x}_{i} + b)]^{2} \} d\tau$$
$$\varphi(\mathbf{a}, b) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \{\frac{2}{3} (\frac{v_{i} - u_{i}}{2})^{3} + [(\alpha \mathbf{x}_{i} + \beta) - (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{x}_{i} + b)]^{2} (v_{i} - u_{i}) \}$$

We can observe that all the values above are positive, so the minimum will be obtained if $(\alpha x_i + \beta) - (ax_i + b) = 0, \forall i = 1..m$, or:

$$(\alpha - \mathbf{a})\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{b} - \beta, \ (\alpha - \mathbf{a})\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{b} - \beta, \ \dots \ (\alpha - \mathbf{a})\mathbf{x}_m = \mathbf{b} - \beta$$

Taking into account the condition $x_i \neq x_i$, it results $a = \alpha$ and $b = \beta$.

Application. We used daily maximum and minimum index values for *Dow Jones*^(*), between 2/20/2012 and 2/11/2013. Data was divided by 1000 and we found a=0.131562 and b=12.67668. Maximum, minimum and regression line, calculated in the assumption of continuous range, are represented in the following graph:



(*) Data from http://investing.money.msn.com/investments/market-summary/

Romanian Journal of Economic Forecasting - 2/2013